

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/607,767 | 06/27/2003 | Takeshi Nishimura | 4296-164 US | 7413 | |
| | 7590 02/21/2007 | • | EXAM | INER | |
| Diane Dunn McKay, Esq. Mathews, Collins, Shepherd & McKay, P.A. Suite 306 100 Thanet Circle Princeton, NJ 08540 | | | PUTTLITZ, KARL J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1621 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/21/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|------------------|------------------|
| 10/607,767 | NISHIMURA ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Karl J. Puttlitz | 1621 |

| Before the Finny of an Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Karl J. Puttlitz | 1621 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of | | | | | | | |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). | f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE | OWITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | onsideration and/or search (see NO | | because | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | (| | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendm | nent canceling | | | | |
| 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | ut hafara as an the data of filing of | Notice of Annual will r | est he entered | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the constant of the co | on of the status of the claims after o | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | , | n condition for allowa | ince because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | [De 2 | 115 Da / | | | | |
| 13. | | KARL PU PATENT EX | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments represent a new issue, for example definiteness with regard to those steps in claim 3, is the acrylic acid estrified or further purified in the dehydration column. Moreover, there are no good and sufficient reasons why the amendment was not earlier presented.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,767

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

The IDS filed 1/29/2007 has not been considered since the fee is missing see 37 CFR 1.96(d).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached at telephone number (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KARL PUTTLITZ PATENT EXAMINER